GLOBALLY SUBANALYTIC CMC SURFACES IN $\mathbb{R}^3$

J. L. BARBOSA, L. BIRBRAIR, M. DO CARMO, AND A. FERNANDES

Abstract. We prove that globally subanalytic nonsingular CMC surfaces of $\mathbb{R}^3$ are only planes, round spheres or right circular cylinders.

arXiv:1405.0725v1 [math.DG] 4 May 2014

1. Introduction

The question of describing the surfaces of constant mean curvature (CMC surfaces) is known in Differential Geometry since the classical papers of Hopf [9] and Alexandrov [1]. In the preprint [2], Barbosa and do Carmo showed that the connected nonsingular algebraic CMC surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ are only the planes, spheres and cylinders. The present paper gives a generalization of this result to a larger setting where we consider CMC surfaces globally subanalytic in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In particular, one can find here a proof for semialgebraic connected nonsingular CMC surfaces.

In the Section 2 we make a brief introduction to the theory of globally subanalytic sets for people who does not work in Real Algebraic Geometry. All this can be found in [7] or [6], and we will try to give explicit references where we can find most of results we use.

2. Globally subanalytic sets

In this section, we do a brief exposition of globally subanalytic sets following closely the paper [6].

Definition 2.1 (Semianalytic sets)**.** A subet $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *semianalytic at* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if there exists an open neighborhood U of x in $\mathbb{R}^n$ such that $U \cap X$ can be written as a finite union of sets of the form $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid p(x) = 0, q_1(x) > 0, \ldots, q_k(x) > 0\}$, where $p, q_1, \ldots, q_k$ are analytic functions on U. A subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *semianalytic in* $\mathbb{R}^n$ if X is semianalytic at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.2 (Subanalytic sets)**.** A subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *subanalytic at* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if there exists an open neighborhood U of x in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a bounded semianalytic subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$, for some m, such that $U \cap X = \pi(S)$ where $\pi : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is the orthogonal projection map. A subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *subanalytic in* $\mathbb{R}^n$ if X is subanalytic at each poin of $\mathbb{R}^n$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C42; 53A10 .

Key words and phrases. CMC surfaces, Globally Subanalytic Sets.

The authors were partially supported by CNPq-Brazil.

Example 2.3. The connected components of algebraic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ are subanalytic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$. More generally, semialgebraic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ are subanalytic subsets in $\mathbb{R}^n$(for the definition of semialgebraic sets, see [4] page 24).

Example 2.4. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be an analytic function. Then, the zero set $X = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid f(x) = 0\}$ is a subanalytic subset in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

This example above shows us that some subanalytic subsets do not have finite topology. For instance, if $X \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is the zero set of the function $\sin(x^2+y^2)$, then X is a subanalytic set with infintely many connected components.

Definition 2.5. A subset $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *globally subanalytic* if its image under the map, from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \mapsto (\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{1+x_1^2}}, \ldots, \frac{x_n}{\sqrt{1+x_n^2}})$$

is a subanalytic subset in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Example 2.6. A map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is called a *semialgebraic map* if its graph is a semialgebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$. The Tarski-Seidenberg Theorem (see [4] pages 26-27) says that the image of the semialgebraic sets by the semialgebraic maps are also semialgebraic sets. We use the Tarski-Seidenberg Theorem to provide an argument that the semialgebraic subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$ are globally subanalytic sets. In fact, Let X be a semialgebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Since the map

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \varphi : & \mathbb{R}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^n \\ & (x_1, \ldots, x_n) & \longmapsto & (\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{1+x_1^2}}, \ldots, \frac{x_n}{\sqrt{1+x_n^2}}) \end{array}$$

is a semialgebraic map, by Tarski-Seidenberg Theorem, $\varphi(X)$ is a semialgebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. By Example 2.3, $\varphi(X)$ is a subanalytic subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Finally, by definition, X is globally subanalytic.

According to the next example, we see that the class of globally subanalytic sets is much larger than the class of semialgebraic ones.

Example 2.7. Let $p : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a rational map such that its image is included in a compact Euclidean ball B. Let $f : B \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function defined as a restriction to B of an analytic function $F : U \to \mathbb{R}$ where U is an open neighborhood of B. Then, the graph and the level sets of the composite function $g = f \circ p$ are globally subanalytic subsets.

Definition 2.8. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a globally subanalytic. A map $f : X \to \mathbb{R}^k$ is called a *globally subanalytic map* if its graph is a globally subset of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^k$.

Example 2.9. The function f defined by $(x, y) \mapsto \cos(\frac{1}{1+x^2+y^2})$ is a globally subanalytic function. In particular, the graph of f is a surface of class C^ω, properly embedded in $\mathbb{R}^3$, which is a globally subanalytic set.

Example 2.10. Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a globally subanalytic subset. The distance function to X, from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $[0, \infty)$, defined by, $p \mapsto \mathrm{dist(p, X)}$, is a globally subanalytic function.

In order to show the strength of the theory of globally subanalytic sets, let us list some important properties.

(1) **Triangulability** (see [12]): *Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact subanalytic subset. Let B_i, $i = 1, ..., k$, be suabanalytic subsets of X. Then there exist a finite simplicial complex K and a subanalytic homeomorphism $\Phi : |K| \to X$ such that each B_i is a union of images by Φ of open simplices of K.*

(2) **Piecewise Monotonicity** (see [6] page 192): *if $f\colon (a, b) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a globally subanalytic function, then there exists a partition $a = a_0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_m = b$ such that on each interval (a_i, a_{i+1}) the function f is either continuous and strictly monotone or constant.*

(3) **Polynomial Growth** (see [6] page 192) : Given a globally subanalytic function $f : (x_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, there are $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a > 0$ such that $|f(t)| < t^d$ for $t > a$.

In order to finish this section, as a consequence of the Polynomial Growth Property, let us point out that exponential functions $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ are not globally subanalytic functions, as one can see in the next result.

Proposition 2.11. *Let $f\colon (0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be a globally subanalytic function such that there exist positive real numbers c and λ; $0 \leq f(R_n) \leq ce^{-\lambda R_n}$, where $\{R_n\}$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that $R_n \to \infty$. Then, $f(R) = 0$ for all R large enough.*

Proof. If the proposition is false for the function f, by the Piecewise Monotonicity Property, there exists a positive real number x_0 such that $f(R) > 0$ for all $R > x_0$. Let $g\colon [x_0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ be defined by $g(t) = 1/f(t)$. Since g is a globally subanalytic function, by the Polynomial Growth Property, there are $d \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a > 0$ such that $0 < g(t) < t^d$ for $t > a$, what, jointly with the hypothesis, implies that $e^{\lambda R_n} \leq cR_n^d$, $\forall n$. Since $R_n \to \infty$, the last inequality is an obvious absurd. □

3. Main theorem and its proof

Let $X \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a surface non-singular, analytic and closed in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Let us suppose that $X \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is globally subanalytic. First, we are going to show that X has finite topology. In fact, we prove a more general result, that is, all globally subanalytic sets have finite topology.

Lemma 3.1. *X has finite topology.*

Proof. If X is compact, we have nothing to do. Then let us suppose that X is not compact. Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be a round sphere. Let $p \in S$ and $\phi : S \setminus \{p\} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ be the stereographic projection associated to the point $p \in S$. Since ϕ is a rational homeomorphism, $\phi^{-1}(X)$

is a subanalytic subset of S and its compactification, $\tilde{X} = \phi^{-1}(X) \cup \{p\}$, is a compact subanalytic subset of S. By the Triangulability Property (see Section 2), we can take a finite triangulation τ of $\tilde{X}$ where p is a vertex of τ. Thus, removing the point p, we see that X is homeomorphic to a compact polyhedron minus a finte subset of points. □

Theorem 3.2. *If X has constant mean curvature, then X is a plane, a sphere or a right circular cylinder.*

Proof. Let us suppose the mean curvature H of X is a nonzero constant. The case $H = 0$ will be analyzed later on. If X is compact, by Aleksandrov's Theorem, X is a sphere. Thus, the problem is reduced to the case where X is not compact. Let Σ be an end of X. In other words, let Σ be a connected component of the set

$$\{x \in X \mid dist(x, 0) \geq R_0\}$$

for a sufficiently big fixed $R_0 > 0$. It follows from the paper [11] that there exists a Delaunay surface D which exponentially approximates Σ, that is, there are $C > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\text{Hausdorff Distance } (\Sigma_R, D_R) \leq Ce^{-\lambda R}, \ \forall \ R > 0, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\Sigma_R = \{x \in \Sigma \mid dist(x, 0) \leq R\} \text{ and } D_R = \{x \in D \mid dist(x, 0) \leq R\}.$$

Claim 1. *D is a right circular cylinder.*

Proof of Claim 1. In fact, if D is not a right circular cylinder, by classification of Delaunay surfaces, D is an onduloid. Then, there exists a half-line L satisfying

a) $L \cap D = \{x_n\}$ is a sequence of points such that $|x_n| \to \infty$;
b) $\exists$ $\{y_n\} \subset L$ sequence of points and a real number $k > 0$ such that $\mathrm{dist}(\mathrm{y_n}, \mathrm{D}) > \mathrm{k}$ for all n, and $|y_n| \to \infty$.

For each R large enough, let q_R be the only point in L such that $|q_R| = R$,. Then, the real function $h(R) := \mathrm{dist}(\mathrm{q_R}, \Sigma_\mathrm{R})$ is a globally subanalytic function (see the argument below for the function f in (2)). Since $x_n \in D$, it comes from Inequality (1) that

$$0 \leq h(|x_n|) \leq Ce^{-\lambda |x_n|}, \ \forall \ n.$$

Thus, using Proposition 2.11, we get that $h(R) = 0$ for all R large enough. Since $|y_n| \to \infty$, we have that $\exists n_0$ such that $y_n \in \Sigma$ for all $n > n_0$. But, this is an absurd, because $\mathrm{dist}(\mathrm{y_n}, \mathrm{D}) > \mathrm{k}$ for all n and Hausdorff Distance$(\Sigma_{|\mathrm{y_n}|}, \mathrm{D}_{|\mathrm{y_n}|}) \to 0$. □

Once we have proved that D is a right circular cylinder, we are going to show that X is equal to D. In fact, let us denote by f the following function

$$f(R) = \text{Hausdorff Distance } (\Sigma_R, D_R). \tag{2}$$

Since the graph of f can be defined as the set of points in $\mathbb{R}^2$ that satisfies a first-order formula in the language of the structure of the globally subanalytic sets (see Theorem 1.13 of [5]), the function f is globally subanalytic . Since

$$0 \leq f(R) \leq e^{-\lambda R}, \ \forall \ R > 0,$$

we have that $f(R) = 0$ for R large enough. Thus, by analicity, D is equal to X.

Now, let $H = 0$. Let Σ be an end of X. Without loss of generality we may suppose the z-axis in $\mathbb{R}^3$ is the asymptotic direction of Σ (see [13]). Thus, outside a big Euclidean ball in $\mathbb{R}^3$, Σ is the graph of a function z defined in

$$\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \ : x^2 + y^2 \geq R^2\}.$$

By the result of R. Schoen in [14], there exist constant real numbers a, b, c and d such that

$$z(x, y) = a \log(t) + b + t^{-2}(cx + dy) + O(t^{-2}) \tag{3}$$

where $t = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$.

Claim 2. *The constant a is equal to zero.*

Proof of Claim 2. Let us suppose that $a \neq 0$. Without lost generality, we can suppose that $a > 0$. Since $z(x, y)$ is a globally subanalytic function, the function $\zeta : [R, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as the restriciton of $z(x, y) - b$ to the line $x = y$, that is, $\zeta(x) = z(x, x) - b$ is a globallay subanalytic function. By (3), there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $R_0 > R$ such that

$$0 \leq \zeta(x) \leq \varepsilon a \log(x) \ \forall \ x \geq R_0.$$

By the Piecewise Monotonicity Property, we can choose $R_0 > 0$ large enough such that the restriction of ζ to the interval $[R_0, \infty)$ is a continuous and strictly monotone function. Let $g : [S_0, \infty) \to [R_0, \infty)$ be the inverse function of $\zeta : [R_0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$, in particular g is a globally subanalytic function. By the last inequality above,

$$0 \leq g(s) \leq e^{-\frac{s}{\varepsilon a}} \ \forall \ s \geq S_0$$

hence $g(s) = 0$ for all s large enough. What is an absurd. □

Once we have proved that $a = 0$, we get that X is asymptotic to the plane $z = b$. Thus, each end of X is asymptotic to some plane. Since X is a properly embedded surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with finitely many ends, the asymptotic planes to X must be parallel planes. It follows that there are two planes and X is contained in the region bounded by them. It follows by the halfspace theorem for minimal surface [10] that X must be a plane.

□

Finally, we would like to observe that the theorem above holds true if we assume that the surface X is definable in a polynomial bounded structure on $\mathbb{R}$, instead of the assumption that the surface is a globally subanalytic set.

References

[1] Alexandrov, A. *A characteristic property of spheres.* Ann. Mat. Pura and Appl. **58** (1962), 303-315

[2] Barbosa, J. and do Carmo, M. *On regular algebraic surfaces of* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *with constan mean curvature.* arXiv:1403.7029 (2014)

[3] Bierstone, E. and Milman, P. D. *Semianalytic and subanalytic sets.* Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS **67** (1988), 542

[4] Coste, M. *An introduction to Semialgebraic Geometry.* Dip. Mat. Univ. Pisa, Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica, Istituti Editoriali e Poligrafici Internazionali, Pisa (2000). Available in http://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/michel.coste/articles.html

[5] Coste, M. *An Introduction to O-minimal Geometry.* Dip. Mat. Univ. Pisa, Dottorato di Ricerca in Matematica, Istituti Editoriali e Poligrafici Internazionali, Pisa (2000). Available in http://perso.univ-rennes1.fr/michel.coste/articles.html

[6] van den Dries. *A generalization of the Tarski-Seidenberg theorem, and some nondefinability results* Bulletin of the AMS **15** (1986), 189-193

[7] van den Dries, L.; Miller,C. *Geometric categories and o-minimal structures.* Duke Mathematical Journal **84** (1996), 267-540

[8] Gabrielov, A. *Projections of semi-analytic sets.* Funct. Anal. Appl. **02** (1968), 18-30

[9] Hopf, H. *Über Flächen mit einer relation zwischen Hauptkrümungen.* Math Nachr. **4** (1951), 232-249

[10] Hoffman, D. and Meeks III, W. *The strong halfspace theorem for minimal surfaces.* Inventiones Mathematicae **101** (1990), 373-377

[11] Korevaar, N; Kusner, R. and Solomon, B. *The struture of complete embedded surfaces with constant mean curvature.* Journal of Differential Geometry **30** (1989), 303-596

[12] Lojasiewicz, S. *Triangulation of semi-analytic sets.* Ann. Sci. cole Norm. Sup. Pisa (3) **18** (1964), 449-474

[13] Osserman, R. *Global properties of minimal surfaces in* E^3 *and* E^n. Annals of Mathematics **80** (1964), 340-364

[14] Schoen, R. *Uniqueness, symmetry and embeddedness of minimal surfaces.* Journal of Differential Geometry **18** (1983), 791-809

[15] Tarski, A. *A decision method for an elementay algebra and geometry.* University of California Press, Berkeley and Los Angeles, California (1951) (2nd ed.)

J. L. Barbosa, Rua Carolina Sucupira 723 ap 2002, 60140-120 Fortaleza-CE, Brazil

E-mail address: joaolucasbarbosa@gmail.com

L. Birbrair and A. Fernandes, Departamento de Matemática,Universidade Federal do Ceará Av. Humberto Monte, s/n Campus do Pici - Bloco 914, 60455-760 Fortaleza-CE, Brazil

E-mail address: birb@ufc.br

E-mail address: alexandre.fernandes@ufc.br

M. do Carmo, Instituto Nacional de Matemática Pura e Aplicada IMPA Estrada Dona Castorina 110, 22460-320 Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil

E-mail address: manfredo@impa.br